




Analysis of Responses to the Consultation Paper

Constitutional Reform: next steps for the House of Lords

Annex C – pie charts of responses




Issue 2A




- Agree Membership = 3 main parties + Crossbenchers + independently appointed members
- Disagree

Issue 2B




- Independents be majority appointed by the Convenor giving a commission of 9
- Independents to be majority selected by the same process as the lay members with a total of 8

Issue 2C




- Agree members of Parliament be able to apply as an independent member
- Members should not be able to apply as an independent member


Issue 2D




Issue 2E



Issue 3A




Issue 3B




- Agree Government should not have an overall majority
- Disagree that Government should not have overall majority

Issue 3C




- Political parties' share of the House should not have regard to the previous general election
- Political parties' share of the House should have regard to the previous general election (Votes cast)
- Political parties' share of the House should have regard to the previous general election (Seats won)

Issue 3D




- Agrees that appointments of non-party members on average should be 20% or more of total appointments
- Disagree that appointments of non-party members on average should be 20% or more of total appointments

Issue 3E




- Agree that the overall size of the House should not grow beyond its present size and reduce over time
- Disagrees that the house size should reduce and not grow beyond its present size

Issue 3F




- Agree there should not be a statutory cap on size of the House
- There should be a statutory cap

Issue 4A




- Agrees with the Government's proposals on representativeness
- Disagrees with the Government's proposals on representativeness

Issue 4B




- Agrees that same measures of representativeness should be applied to the political parties
- Disagrees that the same measure of representativeness should be applied to the political parties

Issue 5




- Agrees that the same rule of disqualification should apply to both Houses
- Disagree that the same rules should apply to both Houses

Issue 6A




- Agrees the Prime Minister should continue to be able to recommend certain peerages
- Disagrees, the Prime minister should not be able to recommend certain peerages

Issue 6B



- Agrees with the presumption regarding the entitlement of former holders of the highest judicial offices to a seat in the HoL
- Disagrees with the presumption regarding the entitlement of former holders of the highest judicial offices to a seat in the HoL

Issue 7



- Agree there should be allowances for voluntary resignation and disclaimer of life peerages
- Disagrees that there should be allowances for resignation and disclaiming life peerages